Thermodynamic and kinetic simulation of Y$_2$O$_3$ and Y$_2$S$_3$ nonmetallic phase formation in liquid steel
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Abstract: The current work deals the phenomenon of non-metallic inclusions as a result of the addition of yttrium to the liquid steel as an alloying component. The order of introducing individual components determines their final content in steel, this problem was analyzed using the WYK_Stal program developed at AGH. The study of Y$_2$O$_3$ and Y$_2$S$_3$ phase precipitation and the relationship between the addition of Y, Al, Ca, O and S in molten steel was studied using the thermodynamic models based on Wagner’s formalism. The introductions of yttrium prior to aluminum brings about huge losses, mainly as far as oxides formation is concerned. The low oxygen content in the metal bath promotes the formation of yttrium sulphide. When yttrium is introduced after aluminum and calcium, yttrium is used for the precipitation of its sulfide, and in this way the manganese sulfide formation is reduced.
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Introduction

The non-metallic phase being formed in liquid steel during its deoxidization mainly consists of iron oxides, manganese oxides, silica oxides and aluminum oxides and is considered to be an oxide phase [1-4]. Its thermodynamic properties can be described twofold: by an expression for the activity of components of liquid solutions or as an expression for free energy of mixing. What is in common of these two description methods is the mathematical dependency of different complexity level, when more components are involved. These methods are derived from a definite ionic liquid model. The simplest model of regular solution [4] treats liquid oxide phase as a composition of two sub-networks: anionic, built only of O$^{2-}$ ions, and cationic sub-network, which is treated as a regular solution. The value of regular solution parameter $\alpha_{ij}$ is defined for each two-component solution $i – j$, and the dependency for a multi-component solution assumes the below form [4]:

$$RT \cdot \ln \gamma_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \cdot X_j + \sum_k \left( \alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{ik} - \alpha_{jk} \right) \cdot X_j \cdot X_k$$

Equation (1) shows a relation for the activity coefficient of a solution component. The activity is referred to the pure component. The solution is made of many oxides, the particle of which contains 1 metal atom.

A much more advanced solution is represented by the quasichemical model. Here for a three-component system, e.g. A0x-BOy-C0z where it analyzes formation of pairs of atoms, i.e. associates in the cationic sub-network (closest neighbors) [5-7]:

$$\text{(A-A)} + \text{(B-B)} = 2 \text{(A-B)} \quad \text{(2a)}$$

$$\text{(B-B)} + \text{(C-C)} = 2 \text{(B-C)} \quad \text{(2b)}$$

$$\text{(C-C)} + \text{(A-A)} = 2 \text{(C-A)} \quad \text{(2c)}$$

Thus the cationic sub-network in the A0x-BOy solution consists of pairs of cations A, B and cationic associates AB.
The change of Gibbs’ free energy for these elementary reactions calculated for 1 mole of component assumes the following form:

\[
\Delta g_{AB} = \sigma_{AB} - \eta_{AB} \cdot T
\]  
(3a)

\[
\Delta g_{BC} = \sigma_{BC} - \eta_{BC} \cdot T
\]  
(3b)

\[
\Delta g_{CA} = \sigma_{CA} - \eta_{CA} \cdot T
\]  
(3c)

The equilibrium constant for the reaction of associate formation equals to [5-7]:

\[
K_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}^2}{X_{ii} \cdot X_{jj}} = 4 \cdot \exp \left[ - \frac{2(\sigma_{ij} - \eta_{ij} \cdot T)}{z \cdot R \cdot T} \right]
\]  
(4)

where \( z \) is the coordination number.

The formula for equilibrium constant and balance equation can be used for determining participation of particular structural units, and as a consequence, also free energy of mixing for a solution with metal ions and their associates. This model was used in the commercial program FactSage for calculating, e.g. interface equilibria.

The formation of non-metallic precipitates containing yttrium can be described with the following general equations [8-9]:

\[
2 \; [Y] + 3 \; [O] \rightarrow (Y_2O_3)
\]  
(5)

\[
2[Y] + 3[S] \rightarrow (Y_2S_3)
\]  
(6)

\[
2[Y] + 2 \; [O] + 3 \; [S] \rightarrow (Y_2O_2S_3)
\]  
(7)

\[
(Y_2O_2S) + [O] \rightarrow (Y_2O_3) + [S]
\]  
(8)

\[
(Y_2S_3) + [O] \rightarrow (Y_2O_2S) + 2[S]
\]  
(9)

The compounds formed by yttrium and oxygen and sulphur can be identified in the form of \( YS, Y_2S_3, Y_5S_7, Y_3S_4, Y_2S_4 \) and \( Y_2O_2S \). The generated non-metallic precipitates are small (0.5-3.0 \( \mu m \)) and evenly distributed in the ingot. Unlike big precipitates \( Al_2O_3 \) or \( MnS \) (II and III type), they have high melting temperature: 1690-2291 °C for oxides, 1795-2450 °C for sulfides and 1490-1990 °C for oxysulfides, which guarantees their durability [8-9]. The physicochemical properties of selected compounds based on yttrium are presented in table 1.

**Table 1. Standard Gibbs’ energy and equilibrium constant of yttrium reaction for temperatures 1575–1625°C [8]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( \Delta G^\circ = C - DT )</th>
<th>log ( K = \left( A \over T \right) + B )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Y_2O_3(s) = 2[Y] + 3[O] )</td>
<td>1.793</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_2O_2S ; (s) = 2[Y] + 2[O] + [S] )</td>
<td>1.521</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_2S_3(s) = 2[Y] + 3[S] )</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( YS ; (s) = [Y] + [S] )</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( YN ; (s) = [Y] + [S] )</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( YC_2 ; (s) = [Y]+2[C] )</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analogous to data presented in table 1, the Fe-Y-S-O phase equilibrium system for temperature
1600°C was given in fig. 1. This plot creates bases for predicting order and type of phases formed as an effect of reaction of yttrium with oxygen and sulphur in liquid steel [10].

On this basis authors [10] determined stability conditions of particular phases:

for Y₂O₃: \( \frac{a(S)}{a(0)} < 16; \quad a(O) \frac{3}{2} a(Y) > 6.8 \cdot 10^{-8} \)

for Y₂O₂S: \( 16 < \frac{a(S)}{a(0)} < 245; \quad a(O) \frac{3}{2} a(Y) < 6.8 \cdot 10^{-8} \)

for Y₂S₃: \( a(S) / a(0) > 245; \quad a(Y) < 10^{-3}, a(S) > 0.06 \)

for YS: \( a(S) / a(0)^2 > 9.1 \cdot 10^{-5}; \quad a(Y) > 10^{-3}, a(S) < 0.06 \)

Precipitates are primarily formed as a result of reactions with oxygen, therefore the first compounds which should be precipitated are Y₂O₃. They are formed simultaneously with oxyssulfides Y₂O₂S [10]. The yttrium sulfide YS phase formation is associated with the highest activity of yttrium, and lower or very low activity of oxygen. However in the presence of other elements of high chemical affinity to oxygen or sulphur, e.g. Al, Ce, Mn the problem of yttrium dosing can be solved through numerical calculations. For obtaining reliable thermodynamic data on the stability of yttrium compounds and information about the interaction of complex phases, e.g. oxides in its presence, one should consider basic phase systems containing yttrium oxide. The analysis of data obtained by authors [10] reveals that after introducing yttrium and aluminum to steel at temperature 1600°C, the deoxidization products can also contain solid precipitates Y₂O₃, 3Y₂O₃·5Al₂O₃, Al₂O₃ and liquid oxidic phases. The addition of calcium and yttrium results in the formation of Y₂O₃, 3CaO·Y₂O₃, CaO·Y₂O₃, CaO·Y₂O₃, CaO·Y₂O₃, CaO·Y₂O₃ and liquid oxidic phases.

**Computer program to simulation the refining process and introduce of alloying into liquid steel**

The computer software for steel refining was based on its previous version (WYK_STAL), worked out by J. Iwanciw [11-15]. The reaction of deoxidizer dissolved in steel with dissolved oxygen can be written as a general equation:

\[
m[R] + n[O] = R_m O_n
\]

(10)
The product of this type of process, i.e. \( R_mO_n \) is dissolved in oxidic phase or appears as pure solid oxide, which may be part of a compound precipitate.

\[
K_{(1)} = \frac{X_{R_mO_n} \cdot \gamma_{R_mO_n}}{([\%R] \cdot f_R) \cdot ([\%O] \cdot f_O)^{m/n})}
\]  

(11)

\( f_R \) and \( f_O \) are coefficients of activity (Henry’s law) at concentrations [%R] and [%O] expressed in wt%. \( X_{R_mO_n} \) stands for a molar fraction \( R_mO_n \) treated as a chemical compound in liquid oxidic phase, \( \gamma_{R_mO_n} \) - coefficient of activity (Raoult’s law). Constant of equilibrium (11) simultaneously makes use of activities of Raoult’s and Henry’s type [4, 16-17].

The program is used for calculating the present weight and chemical composition of reacting phases in any moment of the process. The simplest way of presenting changes of reagent concentrations in time is to describe the oxidic precipitate formation with kinetic equation of the first order.

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = -k \cdot (C - C_{eq})
\]  

(12)

where the \( C_{eq} \) concentration refers to the equilibrium of reaction, and \( k \) is the constant of velocity.

According to the generally assumed picture of steel deoxidation and its impact on the rate of the process, the hydrodynamic conditions resulting from the speed of mixing are of special significance. In the classical approach, the transport of the reagent to the place of reaction consists of two stages: convection, in which reagent is entrained by the whole volume of liquid metal, and diffusive in which reagent diffuses through the boundary layer of the concentration. The concentration of oxygen and deoxidant changes along the thickness of diffusion layer from the average value for liquid steel (in a given moment) to a value corresponding to the equilibrium of reaction of non-metallic precipitate formation, in line with reaction (10).

Based on the above circumstances as in [11-13], the following form of kinetic equation of deoxidation was assumed [13]:

\[
\frac{d[\%O]}{dt} = B_m \cdot D_R \cdot \left([\%O]_e - [\%O]_0\right)
\]  

(13)

where: [%O] - average concentration of oxygen in liquid steel (wt%)  
[\%O]_e - oxygen concentration after reactions corresponding to equilibrium of reaction for a given deoxidant metal concentration [%R] corresponding to the average for steel,  
\( B_m \) - average value of general coefficient of mixing in the reactive system,  
\( D_R \) - coefficient of deoxidant diffusion.

In this approach the coefficient of deoxidant diffusion should account for the ratio of atomic weight of deoxidant and oxygen and the stochiometry of reaction (10).

Equation (13) can be solved in the following way:

\[
[\%O]_t = [\%O]_0 + ([\%O]_e - [\%O]_0) \cdot \exp(-B_m \cdot D_R \cdot t)
\]  

(14)

where: [%O] - present concentration of oxygen in liquid steel,  
[\%O]_0 - initial concentration of oxygen in liquid steel.

In the computer calculation of the reactions, [%O]_t and [%O]_0 refer to the beginning and end of a given time step.

The segment of the model which is based on equations (10) – (14) describes the speed of the process for each metal separately by a distance of dissolved oxygen from the equilibrium concentration. The applied kinetic formula does not account for the nature of this process. Then the products of deoxidation, i.e. non-metallic precipitates flow out of steel. In each case bigger particles flow out faster, no matter the type of the flowing-out mechanism [13-15].
This program assumes a simple model of removal of oxidic precipitates. The model operates on wt% concentration of oxygen bonded by a given metallic component R - [%O]_R. The difference between the present concentration and the expected value [%O]_Rk after a given stage of process of precipitate removal was assumed to be a driving force powering the process (13-14) [13, 17].
\[
\frac{d[\%O]_R}{dt} = B_m \cdot C_R \cdot \left( [\%O]_R - [\%O]_{R_k} \right)^n
\]

(15)

In the general case the value of average mixing coefficient \(B_m\) does not have to be identical as in equation (13), because the flowing out of inclusions also takes place after the flow-off is over, when the energy of mixing is lower. \(C_R\) denotes an individual outflow coefficient for \(R_mO_n\). The introduction of this coefficient is justified by a differentiated character of inclusions, if the compounds appear in a pure form. Owing to the lack of experimental data, a common value was assumed for all types of inclusions, i.e. \(C_R = 10^{-5}\ \text{cm}^2/\text{s}\). The exponent \(n\) was assumed to be equal to 1.

The original version of the program was written in TurboPascal 7.0, with procedures in three basic modules: TL_SYM.PAS, TL_UZU.PAS and TL_UZU_6.PAS. This computer software was composed of additional modules and such options as reactions of deoxidation, desulphurization, formation of nitrides and verification results of calculation. The last option was used for checking out the behavior of the system in given conditions and for given reagents (21 components). The thermodynamic equilibrium was calculated with modules TLEN_PRO.EXE and TN_S_PRO.EXE [13-15, 17-18].

The calculations performed with WYK_STAL give results which are comparable with the industrial melting. The only parameter fitting the simulation to the real process in local conditions (size of the ladle, way in which metal bath is mixed) is an arbitrary component ‘coefficient of mixing’. This coefficient was fitted on the basis of ten or so melting processes of various types of steel, machined in a similar way. The starting point of simulations in liquid steel of defined mass, chemical composition and temperature. Alloy additives can be introduced only after their dosing is planned: continuous, by portions, in one portion. In the analyzed system chemical reactions are observed, mainly oxidation of components and reactions with sulphur [4, 10-17].

Results of calculations

The current version of the program has been updated with thermodynamic data for rare earths metals and yttrium. The option to choose a calculation model has also been introduced. Preliminary results of calculations have been published in the conference paper [18]. At the first stage calculations were made for the non-metallic phase formation for basic systems Fe-O-S-Al-Y and Fe-O-S-Al-Y-Ca. The program allows for choosing a model for thermodynamic calculations [13, 17]:
- Model a: activity of the product of chemical reactions in liquid metal \(a = 1\);
- Model b: coefficient of activity of components in liquid metal \(f = 1\);
- Model c: account for partition coefficient \(L_S\).

The calculations were conducted for models a and c in several variants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Steel composition assumed for calculations [wt%] [18]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[wt%]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Parameters of the deoxidation and desulphurization process [18]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight of metal in ladle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight of slag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature of metal at the beginning of the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure of gaseous phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial maximum oxygen bounded in inclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial total oxygen content in steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
initial slag composition | CaO – 45%; Al₂O₃ – 2%; MgO – 9%; MnO – 5%; SiO₂ – 12%; FeO – 27%;
---|---
change of additives introduced to slag | 100 kg SiO₂ was added in the second minute

**Variant 1**: First, 76 kg yttrium was added in the fifth minute, and 30 kg aluminum in the twentieth minute of the process. The first calculation series was performed with the use of model a. (figs. 3-5)

![Fig. 3.](image)

Fig. 3. The variation of chemical elements concentration in liquid steel [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

![Fig. 4.](image)

Fig. 4. The variation of chemical composition of slag [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

![Fig. 5.](image)

Fig. 5. The variation of chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]
The results of calculations for O-S-Y-Al in model c are presented in figs. 6-8.

Fig. 6. The variation of chemical elements concentration in liquid steel [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

Fig. 7. The variation of chemical composition of slag [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

Fig. 8. The variation of chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

The change of order in which the additives were introduced resulted in great yttrium losses for the formation of the oxide phase. No sulfide phase formed because of the deficiency of yttrium needed for this process. No aluminum had to be added at the final stage of the process because the metal bath was strongly deoxidized by the first additive. Accordingly, this case was considered to be technologically unfavorable. Very similar calculation results were obtained for mode c and for model a. The presence of FeS was observed in non-metallic inclusions.
Then the refining process was analyzed for Fe-O-S-Al-Y-Ca system. The calculations were performed in the same way as in previous variants, except that calcium addition was taken into account. Calcium was introduced to modify the aluminum oxide inclusions and to desulfurize the metal bath, if necessary.

**Variant 2**: Additives were introduced to steel in the following order: 30 kg aluminum in the first minute, 76 kg yttrium in the tenth minute, and 20 kg calcium in the twentieth minute. The results of the simulated refining process obtained for model a are presented in figs. 9-11.

**Fig. 9.** The variation of chemical elements concentration in liquid steel [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

**Fig. 10.** The variation of chemical composition of slag [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

**Fig. 11.** The variation of chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]
Preliminary deoxidation with the use of aluminum lowered oxygen content to about 0.005%. Yttrium added in the tenth minute caused deep deoxidation; the drop of oxygen content was a result of yttrium consumption for sulfides formation. Calcium introduced at the end of the process also caused strong desulphurization of steel. These processes changed the chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions and slag. Oxides and sulfides were identified in slag. Sulfides were enriched with yttrium sulfide and calcium sulfide. Particularly interesting are the results of calculations obtained for non-metallic inclusions. The evolution of the composition was a consequence of introduced additives. A series of calculations performed for model c is presented in figs. 12-14. The obtained results of computer simulations are analogous to the results obtained for model a.

Fig. 12. The variation of chemical elements concentration in liquid steel [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

Fig. 13. The variation of chemical composition of slag [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]

Fig. 14. The variation of chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions [wt.%] vs. process duration t [min]
**Variant 3:** the same additive values were assumed, except that the order of dozing was changed: 30 kg aluminum was added in the first minute, after preliminary deoxidation 30 kg calcium was introduced in the tenth minute and 76 kg yttrium in the twentieth minute of the process. The results of calculations for model a are presented in figs. 15-17.

![Graph](image1)

**Fig. 15.** The variation of chemical elements concentration in liquid steel [wt.%] vs. process duration $t$ [min]

![Graph](image2)

**Fig. 16.** The variation of chemical composition of slag [wt.%] vs. process duration $t$ [min]

![Graph](image3)

**Fig. 17.** The variation of chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions [wt.%] vs. process duration $t$ [min]

The next calculation series was performed for model c.
The introduction of yttrium at the end of the refining process causes that less of this element is used for the formation of non-metallic phase; the addition of aluminum and then calcium suffice to reach a high level of deoxidization and desulphurization of liquid metal bath. Calculations performed for model a and c revealed that sulfide phase consists of CaS and FeS in model c, and CaS in model a, with the dominating role of the latter in inclusions. Its presence was also identified in slag.
Research of steel microstructure

The next stage of research included planning steel melting with the composition given in Table 4 with the addition of yttrium. The aim was to determine the effect of yttrium on the morphology and chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions, also in terms of limiting its losses to precipitation processes occurring in liquid steel and the formation of secondary inclusions. The laboratory melting was carried out in a vacuum furnace (vacuum furnace scheme shown in Figure 21).

After initial deoxidation, an addition of aluminum in the amount 0.7 g introduced 0.23 g of yttrium in metallic form, containing no admixtures of other elements. The total mass was m = 700 + 0.7 + 0.23 = 700.93 g. The time of melting and refining was 10 minutes. Then the melt was cast into a ceramic mold in argon atmosphere. Metal samples were taken from different areas of a cast ingot. Prepared samples after initial observation with an optical microscope were examined using a scanning electron microscope. The precipitants identified in a sample collected from the secondary metallurgy process were observed and chemically analyzed with the scanning electron microscopy with field emission FEI Quanta 3D, equipped with a detector EDS EDAX Apollo 40.

Nonmetallic inclusions typical of steel containing yttrium are presented in figures 22-33. The analysis of the obtained results shows that the precipitant in steel sample 1 (fig. 22) point 1 was composed of yttrium compound. The situation is analogous for an inclusion in point 2 (fig. 23). High yttrium content in steel caused that the observed precipitants had a spherical shape. Inclusion labeled as 3 had a more complex character and was composed of complex manganese sulfide and yttrium sulfide. MnS precipitations were formed in the course of sulfur and manganese segregation processes, provided the equilibrium product of solubility was exceeded [4]. Hence the assumption that the observed inclusion was formed in the course of steel solidification after adding yttrium, and after nucleation of MnS phase on yttrium sulfide. Yttrium sulfide is formed at the stage of refining, which precedes casting, including solidification, therefore presumably MnS can be separated on the existing sulfide inclusion, being a nucleation center of this phase. This does not exclude the simultaneous modification of inclusion with yttrium. The influence of rare soils elements on the crystalline structure of steel and modification of nonmetallic inclusions has been considerably well described in

---

**Table 4. Chemical composition of steel [wt %]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nonmetallic inclusions were mostly modified with rare soil elements, i.e. cerium and lanthanum, combination of rare soil elements (cerium, lanthanum, yttrium, neodymium, praseodymium, etc.) or mixture of selected metals, e.g. mischmetal. According to the experimental analyses, yttrium may also play this function.

Fig. 22. Microstructure of steel with the addition of yttrium obtained in scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Fig. 23. X-ray microanalysis spot chart obtained from the point 1 separation area
Fig. 24. X-ray microanalysis spot chart obtained from the point 2 separation area

In the case of steel sample 2 (fig. 26) a cluster of fine inclusions was observed (points 3-6) and spherical inclusions, for which analyses were performed in points 1 and 2. Based on the obtained results the inclusions were identified as yttrium oxysulfides.
Fig. 26. Microstructure of steel with the addition of yttrium obtained in scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Fig. 27. X-ray microanalysis spot chart obtained from the point 3 separation area
In sample 3 (fig. 30) the precipitant denoted as 1 contained Y, Al, O and S. After adding Y to liquid steel, previously deoxidized with Al., Y might react with diluted Y and Al₂O₃. This caused that complex inclusions Y₂O₃ – Al and/or Y₂O₃S – Al. were formed, where the inner part was presumably enriched in Al, whereas the outer one in Y. This can be confirmed by a more detailed research analysis and thermodynamic analysis of nonmetallic precipitants after adding yttrium to liquid steel, which requires determining phases systems defining stability of produced compounds.
Fig. 30. Microstructure of steel with the addition of yttrium obtained in scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Fig. 31. X-ray microanalysis spot chart obtained from the point 1 separation area
For obtaining reliable thermodynamic data on the stability of compounds with yttrium and reaction with compound phases, e.g. oxides in its presence, the information about the build of oxidic compounds will be needed. First the basic double systems should be analyzed: FeO-Y₂O₃, and Y₂O₃-Al₂O₃, and also triple systems FeO–Y₂O₃–Al₂O₃ [20]
The analysis of figure 34 reveals that after introducing yttrium and aluminum to steel at temperature 1600 °C, the deoxidizing products can be solid precipitants \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( 3\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\cdot5\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) and liquid oxidic phases [19-20].

The comparison of the results of experimental tests with simulations shows considerably similar results of final elemental content in steel and nonmetallic phase in precipitants. Numerous spherical, minor non-metallic inclusions have been identified in the samples tested. Analysis of the chemical composition from the inclusion area showed that this phase contains elements: Y, O, S, which suggests the formation of both precipitates of oxide, sulphide and yttrium oxy sulfide. This means that the chemical composition of non-metallic inclusions is determined by the content of sulfur and oxygen, and the process of their formation probably includes phenomena associated with the segregation of these elements during solidification. It can therefore be assumed that the yttrium sulphide phase identified in the steel samples is a group of so-called secondary inclusions. In the case of yttrium oxysulfide discharges it can be assumed that this compound is formed in an earlier stage before casting. Can not be excluded precipitation processes on the surfaces of existing non-metallic inclusions. In the steel samples tested, there are no complex oxide agglomerates, that confirms a properly conducted out-of-furnace treatment by applying a vacuum oven.

Fine precipitations of oxides, especially \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \) may play the role of a fine dispersive phase of solidifying character as well as crystallization and nucleation centers for other precipitations, e.g. nitrides and carbides. This signifies that their presence in this form could be advantageous for the efficiency of the process in certain conditions for definite types of steel. Sulfides may dissolve during thermal treatment of steel; deformation may also take place (e.g. MnS). This unfavorable phenomenon can be eliminated by adding yttrium and bonding it to \( \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\text{S} \). This compound does not dissolve, therefore prevents sulfur segregation on the grain interface and additionally causes precipitation hardening. MnS formation should be eliminated on behalf of hard \( \text{Y}_2\text{S}_3 \) and this should be done during refining and precipitation processes taking place in the course of solidification, which is not in the scope of this paper. This effect can be obtained by maintaining a definite level of oxygen and sulfur in liquid metal bath and formation of nonmetallic inclusions of strictly defined chemical composition and comminution. On the other hand the precipitation of yttrium compounds can be analyzed in view of yttrium losses caused by considerable chemical activity of this element. For this reason the adding of yttrium, control of the precipitation process and increase of inclusions can be
treated as an important technological aspect which can be solved with mathematical models and informatics tools. Detailed simulation of steel refining will require further modification of the computer program by oxysulfides data.

Conclusions

1. Upon a simultaneous addition of yttrium and aluminum to liquid steel, the Al$_2$O$_3$ content was observed to remain on the same level. This means that yttrium losses were considerable when oxide phases were formed. This effect was reinforced by elevated sulphur content in the system, resulting in yttrium sulfide formation.

2. The yttrium sulfide formation at a low oxygen content eliminated the process of manganese sulfide formation. For the sake of limiting yttrium losses for the sulfides formation, the metal bath had to be completely desulphurized.

3. The obtained sulfide inclusions on the basis of yttrium different shape compared to the elongated MnS inclusions.

4. The yttrium and sulfur compounds are in the form of small spherical phases evenly distributed in a cast ingot.

5. The addition of yttrium and the formation of simple and complex compounds with sulfur eliminates the process of MnS formation in steel.
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